

Using TeamSTEPPS and SOPS to Enhance Surgical Team Communication

Lukasz Mazur, Ph.D.

Division of Healthcare Engineering University of North Carolina – Chapel Hill

Disclosures



Communify.us (quality/safety improvement management software)

MaiaZura (virtual reality training)

Agenda



- Longitudinal analysis of SOPS scores
- Cross-sectional analysis of unit-based data
- Contextual understanding of results
- >Analysis of teamwork behaviors
- Motivation for change

Surgery Department Acute Trauma ICU and Stepdown Units

SOPS Survey -- 1,035 responses over 11 years

Key takeaways:

Positive:

Response to errors

Communication openness

Negative:

Staffing and work pace levels
Hospital management for safety

		Delta (% Positive - % Negative) Scores	
SOPS Category:	Specific Category:	Slope:	Pattern
Communication	Response to Error	3.54	Convergent
Communication	Communication Openness	-0.488	Stable
Leadership	Hospital Management Support for Safety	-8.11	Crossing
	Staffing and Work Pace	-12.8	Crossing

Tiered Huddle Structure



2022 SOPS Survey Results (n=153 responses; approx. 64%)



Key takeaways:

Positive:

 \succ Report,

communication, learning and response

- to error
- Supervisor support for safety

CATEGORY LEGEND



2022 SOPS Survey Results (n=153 responses; approx. 64%)



Key takeaways:

Negative:

- Staffing and work pace levels
- > Overall safety rating
- Management support for safety
- > Reporting events

CATEGORY LEGEND

summary
communication
teamwork
leadership
overall perceptions

2022 'Deep' Dive Wellbeing Methodology



2022 'Deep' Dive Results for Faculty



2022 'Deep' Dive Results for Nurses, APPs & Staff



2024 SOPS Survey -- 323 responses

✓ Communication	323	72%	19% 9%	73%	64% 🕇 9%
> Response to error	318	68%	19% 13%	68%	60% 🕇 8%
> Communication about error	310	74%	21% 5%	74%	70% 🕇 4%
> Communication openness	311	72%	20% 8%	72%	72% = 0%
> Reporting events	323	73%	22% 5%	73%	72% 🕇 1%
> Most employees report events	323	77%	23%	77%	48% 🕇 29%

TeamSTEPPS® & TENTS Tool

Operating Rooms (ORs)

What Is the TENTS Tool?

The Teamwork Evaluation of Non-Technical Skills (TENTS)

- Observation tool that uses a 5-point scale to assess team behaviors.
- Assesses the four core constructs of teamwork in TeamSTEPPS:
 - Communication
 - Leadership
 - Situation monitoring
 - Mutual support
- We modified the tool slightly:
 - We included a 4-point scale (0 3) for simplicity

TENTS Tool – Scale Modified (simplified from 0-4 scale)

Construct	Behavior	Score
	1a Communicates and receives information appropriately	
	1b Comfortable speaking up and asking questions	
	1c Responses to feedback between team members	
Communication	1d Communicates and receives information to/from patient	
Communication	1e Uses language in urgent situations appropriately	
	1f Utilizes teamwork tools (e.g. huddles, closed-loop communication, periodic planning, and updates)	
	1g Learns together, focuses on improvement following a problem	
	2a Leaders effectively manage team during their roles	
Leederekin	2b Verbalizes plan: intentions, recommendations, timeframes	
Leadership	2c Delegates tasks appropriately	
	2d Instructs as appropriate to the situation	
_	3a Pays attention to surroundings/environment	
Situation Monitoring	3b Aware of each other, contributions, strengths, and weaknesses	
Monitoring	3c Verbalizes adjustments in plan as changes occur	
	4a Willingness to ask for help or additional resources	
Mutual support/	4b Willingness to supports others across different roles	
assertion	4c Accomplishes and prioritizes tasks appropriately	
	4d Employs conflict resolution	
Overall Teamwork	5 Rating of how well the team functioned as a whole	
Overall Leadership	6 Rate how well leaders functioned and how the team responded	

0 = Expected but not observed.

The behavior being scored is not seen despite there being opportunities for the behavior to be employed.

1 = Observed but poorly performed or counterproductive.

The behavior is observed during a situation where it would be appropriate, however it is performed in a way that is not optimal.

2 = Observed and acceptable.

The behavior being observed is appropriate, effective, and at a level where the team is able to function efficiently.

3 = Observed and excellent.

The behavior being observed is performed above the standard level or at level that the observer would consider teamwork performed at the most optimal level. The behavior could be used as an example of "great" or "excellent" teamwork.

Analysis of Behaviors in ORs



> All behaviors averaged slightly above 2, with an overall average of 2.1 (range 1.9-2.2)

TENTS vs Patient Safety Survey (Communication; 1 unit data only)



TENTS 1B: Comfortable speaking up and asking questions

Motivation to Change (Going from 'Good' → 'Great')

Training Concept



This slide contained a video that was broadcast during the presentation. To view the recording, please watch the video replay at the 48:30 mark.

Training for 'Reengagement'

Category	Behavior	Mean TENTS Score (SE)	<i>p</i> value
Communication	1A: Communicates and receives information appropriately		◊── 0.001
	1B: Comfortable speaking up and asking questions		- 0.004
	1C: Responses to feedback between team members		0.017
	1D: Communicates and receives information to/from patient *		0.139
	1E: Uses language in urgent situations appropriately		0.036
	1F: Utilizes teamwork tools		0.026
	1G: Learns together, focuses on improvement following a problem		0.022
	2A: Leaders effectively manage team during their roles		0.001
Leadership	2B: Verbalize plan: intentions, recommendations, timeframes		0.022
	2C: Delegates tasks appropriately		0.007
	2D: Instructs as appropriate to the situations		0.034
	3A: Pays attention to surroundings/environment		0.005
Situation Monitoring	3B: Aware of each other, contributions, strengths, and weaknesses		0.022
	3C: Verbalizes adjustments in plan as changes occur		0.033
	4A: Willingness to ask for help or additional resources		↔ 0.001
Mutual Support	4B: Willingness to supports other across different roles *		0.146
Mutual Support	4C: Accomplishes and prioritizes tasks appropriately		0.036
	4D: Employs conflict resolution		0.034
Overall Teamwork	5: Rating of how well the team functioned as a whole		◊ 0.001
Overall Leadership	6: Rate How well leaders functioned and how the team responded		◊
	e (p > 0.05) after virtual reality TeamSTEPPS training 1.6 -tailed, two sample t-test between Intervention and Baseline	1.8 2 2.2 2.4 2.6 ● Baseline ▲ Control ◆ Interv	2.8 3 vention

lue⁺

Ten physicians that underwent our intervention showed statistically significant (p<0.05)**improvements in 90%** (18/20) of behaviors when compared to the ten physicians that did not receive the intervention

Overall average [range]: 2.5 [2.3-2.7] vs. 2.1 [1.9-2.2].

Conclusions

- 1. Start with analysis of <u>longitudinal data</u> to look for key trends at the hospital and department levels.
- 2. At the unit level: Identify **positive** and **negative** aspects of culture of patient safety and relate them to hospital/department levels.
- 3. Perform a <u>'well-being'</u> deep dive.
- 4. Watch and understand teamwork behaviors.
- 5. <u>Motivate</u> people to change.
- 6. PDSA the change. Be optimistic.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Lawrence Marks, MD Dr. Nadia Charguia, MD Dr. Caprice Greenberg, MD Dr. Jin Ra, MD Dr. Daryhl Johnson II, MD Dr. Tom Ivester, MD

DHE Team and Students OQE Team Risk Mgmt. Department UNC SOM Leadership UNC Innovation Center



THANK YOU! **THANK YOU! THANK YOU!** THANK YOU! **THANK YOU!** THANK YOU!